

The following table summarizes the district court rulings and appellate status of identical TTFT cases litigated by Banks's counsel:

Case	District Court Ruling	Appellate Status
<i>Prosser v. Azar</i> , No. 20-cv-194, 2020 WL 6266040 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 21, 2020), <i>aff'd sub nom., Prosser v. Becerra</i> , 2 F.4th 708 (7th Cir. June 25, 2021)	Dismissed for lack of standing	Affirmed (en banc rehearing denied)
<i>Banks v. Azar</i> , No. 20-cv-565, 2021 WL 1759304 (N.D. Ala. Mar. 30, 2021), <i>vacated and remanded on other grounds, ---F.App'x ---, 2021 WL 3138562 (11th Cir. July 26, 2021)</i>	Granted the Secretary's motion for summary judgment regarding collateral estoppel	Vacated and re-manded for further factfinding
<i>Thumann v. Cochran</i> , No. 20-cv-125, 2021 WL 1222142 (S.D. Ohio Mar. 31, 2021)	Dismissed for lack of standing	Dismissed, No. 21-3502, 2021 WL 3909898 (6th Cir. Aug. 2, 2021)
<i>Wilmoth v. Azar</i> , No. 20-cv-120, 2021 WL 681118 (N.D. Miss. Feb. 22, 2021)	Dismissed for lack of standing	Dismissed, No. 21-60351, 2021 WL 5105110 (5th Cir. Aug. 5, 2021)
<i>Oxenberg v. Azar</i> , No. 20-cv-738 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 9, 2021)	Dismissed for lack of standing	Decision pending
<i>Komatsu v. Azar</i> , No. 20-cv-280, 2020 WL 5814116 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2020)	Dismissed for lack of standing	Dismissed, No. 20-56001, 2020 WL 7865597 (9th Cir. Oct. 2, 2020)
<i>Pehoviack v. Azar</i> , No. 20-cv-661, 2020 WL 4810961 (C.D. Cal. July 22, 2020)	Dismissed for lack of standing	Dismissed, No. 20-55841 (9th Cir. Dec. 10, 2020)

Townsend v. Cochran, No. 20-cv-1210, 2021 WL 1165142 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 25, 2021) Denied motion to dismiss for lack of standing No appeal